

Walterboro City Council
Public Hearing and Regular Meeting
Tuesday, March 28, 2006

MINUTES

A Public Hearing and Regular Meeting of Walterboro City Council was held at City Hall on Tuesday, March 28, 2006 at 6:15 P.M., with Mayor Charles H. Sweat, Jr. presiding.

PRESENT WERE: Mayor Charles H. Sweat, Jr., Council Members: Charles Lucas, Bill Young, Johnnie Thompson, Ted Parker, Franklin Smalls, and Mary Anne Cannady. City Manager Tuck McConnell, City Clerk Betty Hudson and Attorney George Cone were also present.

There being a quorum present, Mayor Sweat welcomed everyone to the meeting and called on Council Member Smalls for the invocation and Council Member Parker to lead the pledge of allegiance to our flag.

The Mayor then opened a public hearing to receive public comments and input on Ordinance # 2006-06, an Ordinance to provide for the issuance and sale of a Tax Increment Revenue Bond, Series 2006.

City Manager Tuck McConnell stated that the Tax Increment Financing Bond was designed to pay for several projects that were included in the Tourism 2005-2006 Plan. He explained that part of the monies would be used to insure the funding of the Great Swamp Sanctuary Discovery Center Building, part to be used on Ireland Creek, part is to be used for the planning to beautify Jefferies Boulevard and Bells Highway and a part to be used for matching funds with the South Carolina Department of Transportation to improve the appearance of our two interstate interchanges. No further comments were received on this item.

Next, the Mayor announced a public hearing to receive comments on Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Needs Assessment. He then introduced Ms. Michelle Knight of the Lowcountry Council of Governments to conduct the hearing.

Ms. Knight stated that annually LCOG conducts a needs assessment public hearing for Walterboro and surrounding areas. The purpose for the hearing is to get the city in a position to be able to pursue Community Development Block Grant funds through the SC Department of Commerce for the coming year.

Ms. Knight explained that this year the funding allocation from the State had been reduced slightly. There is approximately \$23.9 million in CDBG funds available in 2006. The money has been spit into three (3) major programs: Community Development, Business Development and Planning. The Community Development Program has \$15 million in allocated funds, Business Development has \$7 million and Planning has \$600,000 in allocated funds.

Under the Community Development Program, all projects that can be applied for must meet one of three national objectives:

- a) Benefit low to moderate low income people.
- b) Aid in the prevention of slum or blight.
- c) Meet some other urgent need as defined by HUD. Urgent need type projects are national disasters, such as the Hurricane Katrina in Louisiana.

MINUTES/Page II

Ms. Knight then gave the following highlights and eligibility requirements for the three major programs:

1. Community Development Program (\$15 million funds available):

Under this program, all the monies are competitive. There are four set asides this year.

- a. Community infrastructure has \$6.5 million set aside with an application deadline of April 10, 2006.
- b. Neighborhood revitalization has \$4 million with an application deadline of Sept 18, 2006.
- c. Commercial revitalization has \$3 million with an application deadline of Sept. 18, 2006.
- d. Competitive Communities - \$ 2 million available with an application deadline of Sept. 18, 2006.

Ms. Knight explained that with all 4 set asides, there is a maximum of \$500,000 which can be applied for a particular project and a 10% matching requirement on all the projects, except the Neighborhood Revitalization Project (which is a housing project), which has a 5% cash match requirement. She further explained that all of the set asides are designed to produce projects that have a competitive environment for business or that would increase quality of life issues for the general public.

Ms. Knight stated that under the community infrastructure program, the types of projects we are looking at are water/sewer and drainage type projects where we are benefitting low to moderate income neighborhoods, improving access to services already there, or extend services to a community that does not have water or sewer already.

Under the Neighborhood Revitalization, we are basically trying to preserve safe, decent and affordable housing stock and sustain communities by increasing housing stock that is affordable. Also, it is used to provide rental and home ownership opportunities for low income families. Any activity under this set aside has to be done in a well-defined targeted neighborhood, where you are basically going down the street and touching every house on the street. If it's an affordable housing project, this must be a project where you can see a large impact in that neighborhood.

Ms. Knight explained that the Commercial Revitalization program is typically to do streetscape type projects or facade work in downtown areas. Any communities applying for this type of money must have a formal downtown revitalization plan that is actively being carried out by the community.

The final set aside, Competitive Communities, is basically a catchall for a project that meets the national objective criteria, but does not fit any of the other set asides.

2. Business Development (\$7 million funds available)

Ms. Knight explained that this category is to provide public infrastructures or buildings, in some cases, to businesses that will create or retain jobs, and make a significant capital investment in a community. She stated that since Colleton County

MINUTES/Page III

is an underdeveloped county, it is eligible for up to \$750,000 in CDBG funds to work on a project of this nature. This is funding for a business in the community already or a business looking to locate in the community and has promised to create a certain number of jobs. The maximum per job created that the state will grant is \$10,000 per job. Realistically, the state average is about \$4,000-\$5,000.

There is no application deadline on this type project, but there is a matching 10% for the unit of local government. This can be done in cash match or other evidence of commitment to the project, such as a fee in lieu of agreement.

3. Planning Program (\$600,000 in funds available)

Ms. Knight reported that \$500,000 of the funds available has been allocated to the Councils of Governments across the state to do project development work from county to county at a rate of \$50,000 for each of the ten (10) COG's in the State. The remaining \$100,000 has been set aside for a local planning fund, where a community such as Walterboro could request money up to \$20,000 to do a study that would benefit LMI people in the community and result in some larger CDBG project in the future.

Ms. Knight stated there was a time when the city could apply for funds based on census data. This is no longer the case, as a result of the 2000 census. Basically, anything we do, we have to conduct a survey. Ms. Knight then gave the following demographics based on census data for the City of Walterboro:

The city is 48 or 49 percent Low-to-Moderate Income. Its population is 5,153, with an LMI population of 2,031. The elderly population is 1,175, and the disabled population is 1,321. Female head of household population is 490. There are 2,084 households in the city. The medium value of houses is \$74,500. The medium rent is \$448 per month. There are 1,322 owner-occupied housing units and 762 rental units.

Ms. Knight reminded Council of the identified priority needs that were set for the city last year, which were:

1. Infrastructure.
2. Community facilities.
3. Economic Development.
4. Downtown revitalization.
5. Housing.

At this point, Mrs. Knight opened the floor to answer any questions or receive comments from the public.

No questions or comments were received.

Ms. Knight then asked Council to identify its new list of community priority needs for CDBG funding.

Mr. Travis Godley, city resident, asked what did the City spend on this type funding last year. Ms. Knight responded that the city tried to pursue a CDBG project for a pump station on Highway 63, Sniders Highway, for the Exxon and Safari Pump Stations to serve the community near the exit interchange. That project was not funded through the CDBG program. However, the city found other

MINUTES/Page IV

funding sources to pay for that project. Mayor Sweat added that the City does have a fairly good track record as for as what we have requested and received for CDBG projects. Going back to CDBG housing, we have put in a lot of water lines with CDBG, so our success rate has been fairly good, but last year, the numbers just weren't there for us. It's getting harder and harder as far as competition every year.

Ms. Knight added that it's getting harder and harder because there is less money. The allocation for the year for the state was decreased by approximately \$2.5 million, and a lot of it was due to disasters such as Hurricane Katrina and the war. Ms. Knight recalled that for the last 11 years, this is perhaps the first time the city did not get CDBG funding, since she has been working with the program.

A motion was made by Council Member Cannady, seconded by Council Member Thompson, and passed unanimously to retain the same identified community priority needs for the year 2006 as listed last year, which are as follows:

1. Infrastructure. (meaning water, sewer & drainage)
2. Community facilities.
3. Economic Development.
4. Downtown Revitalization.
5. Housing.

The hearing was then closed and the regular meeting began. A copy of a signed attendance sheet is attached as part of these minutes.

There were no Minutes before Council for consideration.

Next, Resolution # 2006-R-01, to nullify Resolution # 2000-08, authorizing the County to impose a 2% Hospitality Tax in the city, was deferred by consensus to the next Council meeting.

Resolution # 2006-R-02, authorizing submission of a CDBG grant application for the By-Pass Looping Project, was also deferred by Council to its next meeting.

Resolution # 2006-R-03, a resolution by the Mayor and City Council recognizing Sunshine Week and affirming its commitment to the Freedom of Information Act and openness in government, was before Council. Mayor Sweat announced that Sunshine Week was March 12-18, 2006; however, Council did not have a scheduled meeting during this time, but wanted to recognize that week with this resolution. A motion was made by Council Member Thompson, seconded by Council Member Smalls to approve Resolution 2006-R-03 as submitted. The motion passed unanimously.

Next as an add-on agenda item, the Mayor stated that a proclamation recognizing the 100th birthday of Patsy Haynes Thompson was before Council. He said it is rare to have the opportunity in our community to recognize someone turning 100 years-old. On March 31, 2006, Ms. Patsy Haynes Thompson will celebrate her 100th birthday, and in recognition of this achievement, a proclamation will be issued in her honor. On the motion of Council Member Thompson, seconded by Council Member Lucas, the proclamation was unanimously adopted.

MINUTES/Page V

Under Old Business, Ordinance # 2006-04 was given Second Reading and Adoption on a motion by Council Member Cannady, seconded by Council Member Lucas and passed unanimously; being: AN ORDINANCE TO ESTABLISH A TAX INCREMENT FINANCE DISTRICT FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVING A METHOD OF FINANCING PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS IN AN AREA TO BE KNOWN AS THE DOWNTOWN REVITALIZATION DISTRICT.

Ordinance # 2006-05 was given Second Reading and Adoption on the motion of Council Member Young, seconded by Council Member Parker and passed unanimously; being: AN ORDINANCE TO ESTABLISH A TAX INCREMENT FINANCE DISTRICT FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING A METHOD OF FINANCING PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS IN AN AREA TO BE KNOWN AS THE 2006 TOURISM PROJECT DISTRICT.

Ordinance # 2006-06 was given Second Reading and Adoption on the motion of Council Member Cannady, seconded by Council Member Young and passed unanimously, being: AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF A TAX INCREMENT REVENUE BOND, SERIES 2006, OF THE CITY OF WALTERBORO, SOUTH CAROLINA, AND OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO.

Ordinance # 2006-07 was adopted on Second and Final Reading on the motion of Council Member Cannady, seconded by Council Member Smalls and passed unanimously, being: AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF WALTERBORO TO JOIN WITH THE WALTERBORO-COLLETON COUNTY AIRPORT COMMISSION AND THE COUNTY IN CONVEYING SEVEN AND SIX HUNDRED AND SIXTY-TWO THOUSANDS (7.662) ACRES, MORE OR LESS OF LAND TO BOBBY JONES PROPERTIES, LLC.

Next, Council continued a discussion on amending the Wrecker Service Rotation Program.

Council Member Cannady said over the years, we have had wrecker services located outside the city limits who have provided service to the residents for accidents, etc. inside the city limits. Many of these wrecker services were here serving Walterboro before many of the new wrecker services located now inside the city limits. Many of these wrecker services have gotten licenses inside the city and a wrecker that they pay insurance and taxes on. She felt that Council should consider grand fathering those wrecker services outside the city limits who have had 15, 20 or 25 years of service to the city, as long as they still have a wrecker registered inside the city and a business license.

Council Member Smalls then asked if a wrecker service could service both inside and outside the city limits. City Manager McConnell affirmed that a person involved in an accident could call an outside wrecker for service. He stated that this does not affect request calls. He reminded Council that request calls are not affected by the rate restrictions. He explained that the wrecker service rotation service is for the people who do not have a preference, and the various wrecker services rotate to the top of the list and then they are called. Council Member Cannady added that the wrecker service has to be inside the city limits to be put on the rotation list. City Manager McConnell also stated that the services are required to have a business license and their vehicles are taxed inside the city.

MINUTES/Page VI

Council Member Cannady added that it required that "all" of their wreckers have to be registered and taxed inside the city. She said if about 25 years ago, a big tractor trailer had a big accident in the city, there was no one inside the city limits who could have pulled a truck that big. So, they had to call someone from outside the limits. She concluded by stating that she felt it is not fair to someone who has done business inside the municipality for many years and now we are going to kick them out.

Council Member Young asked if a company is located outside the city, but operating inside the city, wouldn't the business be required to have a business license for the vehicle that operates inside the city. He pointed out that a business inside the city would have to buy a business license and pay taxes on their gross revenue, their building and vehicles. He asked the City Manager if the ordinance is set up to benefit those persons who pay more of the taxes and pay a higher business license.

Mr. McConnell explained that the way the business license is set up now, the outside the city wrecker company is having a financial advantage over the inside the city wrecker company.

City Manager McConnell further stated that we have one large wrecker service inside the corporate limits now, but typically if a wrecker goes to an accident, and he can't handle it, he'll call someone else to help him. This is more of a problem on the interstate.

Chief Rhodes reminded Council that in 1994 or 1995 meetings were held with the wrecker companies. Council came up with an ordinance and gave the department directions. Over the years, the department had gotten away from it, and recently we've had bickering among the wreckers again. He told Council there are six wrecker companies inside the city limits on rotation. The wrecker companies inside the city limits argued that the companies outside were getting all the tows, but they had to pay the business licenses and the business taxes. Chief Rhodes believed this resulted in the requirement to have a vehicle inside or placed inside the city limits. He stated that when the ordinance first started, everyone on rotation did have a lot or some storage vehicle for their vehicle. However, in the past, some of the companies did not continue to meet the requirements, so they were recently removed from the rotation list.

Chief Rhodes stated that the ordinance helps the department with complaints on inflated charges by a wrecker service. He pointed out that a wrecker service on the rotation must adhere to the rates specified in the ordinance, but if a wrecker service not on rotation is requested then they do not have to adhere to the rate structure.

Council Member Lucas asked if the wrecker services outside the city (in the county) could be required to pay on their full gross if they continue on rotation. City Manager McConnell said, he did not know, but he would get an answer on this.

MINUTES/Page VII

Council Member Cannady expressed concern with one key word in the ordinance, "all." According to the ordinance, it states that "all" of the wreckers must be registered and taxes paid inside the city of Walterboro. She has checked other municipalities and they do not specify "all" wreckers. She again emphasized that a wrecker service providing service to Walterboro for 20 or 30 years should be able to continue to serve.

When questioned by the Mayor on her recommendation, Council Member Cannady recommended that the ordinance "grandfather" those wrecker services that have been doing business in the city for the last 25 years or so.

City Manager McConnell stated he believed the last amendment to the ordinance was done to put the inside and outside city wrecker services on equal footing. For example, you have a 50,000 wrecker setting outside the city, and a 500,000 wrecker setting outside the city and you register the 50,000 wrecker inside the city when you run the \$500,000 wrecker inside the city, that's not fair to our inside the city residents.

Mr. McConnell stated that the situation worked out for a while and everybody played by the rules, and for whatever reason our department got away from that policy. So, we have two problems in the wrecker program - one is overcharging and the other is call jumping. Call jumping is when a wrecker monitors the police frequency and when he hears a wreck, he goes to the wreck. While the police officer on scene is busy doing paper work, etc. , the wrecker asks the persons involved in the accident, if they have a wrecker. When the accident victim asked him to tow their vehicle, they are now there by a request. The wrecker tells the officer he is there by a request, and now he is no longer under the rate structure imposed by the ordinance. He can charge anything he wants to charge because it's a request.

Council Member Young asked if any wreckers were contiguous to the city limits. Mr. McConnell responded that none were contiguous. He stated there are 3 wrecker companies outside the city limits with big wreckers. One has met the qualifications of the ordinance and 2 have not. Council Member Young agreed that the suggestion made by Council Member Lucas was a good way to look at it.

Council Member Lucas asked if the city could control what the wrecker company charges. City Manager McConnell responded that the city can only control the rates if we are a party to the request. If a wrecker company is on rotation, then we have a rate structure they must go by.

Council Member Lucas asked if the officer at the scene of an accident could inform the accident victim that the city has a rotation program and the costs are set. Chief Rhodes responded that this could be done only in a perfect situation. He said there is a lot going on at an accident, the officer should be in control, but that is not always what happens. Sometimes, there are people who get there before we do. More discussion was held on this

MINUTES/Page VIII

subject matter, after which Council Member Lucas expressed concern that the city should try to control those persons on the scene trying to solicit business.

A motion was then made by Council Member Cannady to grant a grandfather to those wrecker companies that have been doing business with the city for more than 25 years. Council Member Parker seconded the motion. In discussing the motion Council Member Lucas stated he would like to see the ordinance adjusted as opposed to grand fathering. Further, he stated he would like to find out if the city could charge on gross revenue for the county and the city.

Council Member Cannady then withdrew her motion and restated a motion to approve a "temporary" grandfather of those wrecker companies doing business with the city for over 25 years, until a solution is worked out. Council Member Parker seconded the motion that passed unanimously.

Under New Business, a request by the Rice Festival Committee for the 2006 Rice Festival was reviewed by Council. Council Member Lucas raised a question on the business license. A brief discussion was held, after which a motion was made by Council Member Thompson, seconded by Council Member Parker to approve the requests as submitted by the Rice Festival Committee. The motion passed unanimously.

A motion was made by Council Member Young to allow the street closing request submitted by the Downtown Walterboro Criterium for the Heritage Cycle Series Race to be held on May 3. Council Member Smalls seconded the motion that passed unanimously. East Washington Street would be closed at 2:00 P.M. and the other streets would be closed at 3:00 p.m. The event would end at 9:30 P.M.

Council Member Parker then moved to approve the street closing request submitted by the Chamber of Commerce for the ETV Road Show to be held on May 4. Council Member Smalls seconded the motion that passed unanimously. Council Member Cannady expressed concern that the street closing would close down the businesses on Washington Street for that day. She was also concerned that the merchants were not notified of the event. Mayor Sweat pointed out that shoppers would still have use of the downtown parking lot. Ms. Susan Smith, a downtown, merchant expressed concern with the recent Cruise-in event. She reported that it was dangerous, because it was congested and people were parking their cars in the streets.

A request for a donation in the amount of \$10,000 to the Colleton Center was before. Council Member Young reported that this nonprofit organization is working to preserve the Hampton Street Auditorium. Mayor Sweat explained that the request is that the city, county and arts council each contribute \$10,000 per year for 3 years to get the project going. After a brief discussion, a motion was made by Council Member Lucas, seconded by Council Member Parker to grant a \$10,000 donation this year and review the request each time for the next 2 years. The motion passed with following

MINUTES/Page IX

members voting in favor: Mayor Sweat, Council Members Lucas, Thompson, Smalls, Parker and Cannady. Council Member Young did not vote due to a potential conflict of interest in that he is a member of the board.

Next, Mr. Thomas Angell, on behalf of DWDC, appeared before Council with a request for funding a beautification project downtown to place planter boxes on Washington Street. The plan is to place 18 troff planters in various locations downtown. The planter boxes would be customized to fit the street furnishings and the grate. The cost estimate per box is \$150 for materials, \$150 for labor, \$50 for painting, and \$500 each for liner, soil, drainage, mulch and plants. Council Member Cannady stated the planter boxes looked like a coffin to her. Attorney Cone asked if the downtown ordinance had to be amended to allow the planter boxes. A discussion was held on the maintenance of the plants. Mr. Angell stated the maintenance cost for DWDC would run about \$30.00 per planter. Council Member Young said he was glad to see DWDC take the initiative to dress up downtown.

A motion was then made by Council Member Young to continue to fund the Downtown Walterboro Development (DWDC) at the \$5,000 rate. Council Member Parker seconded the motion. In discussing the motion, Council Member Cannady stated that she did not want a planter box in front of her business. She suggested that DWDC contact the other merchants on Washington Street to see if they wanted a box in front of their place of business. She added that she would rather go with DWDC's original concept, using something similar to the planter at Dream Vest on Washington Street.

Council Member Young then restated his motion to approve the beautification project at \$5,000. Council Member Lucas seconded the motion that passed with a vote of 6/1, with Council Member Cannady opposing the motion.

Mr. Jimmy White appeared before Council regarding the cost of the business license for the oil jobbers. He stated that the price of gas as gone tremendously high this year. Because of this, his gross revenue has doubled, so the cost of his business license will double. He asked that Council consider doing something about the cost. He felt it was unfair for the oil jobbers to pay double from what they normally pay. When questioned by various Council Members, Mr. White explained that they did not make outrageous profits. He stated that at the local level, he has found that the more the price goes up, the smaller his margin gets, because they try to keep the customers they have. When there is an increase in price, his margin goes down because they (the oil jobbers) do not charge as much. Council agreed to take this matter under advisement. Mayor Sweat stated that Council would discuss the matter and try to get back to Mr. White at least by the 15th of April.

Next, Mayor Sweat recognized and expressed Council's gratitude to Pioneer Machinery for the excellent job for the tree clearing project along Ireland Creek. He said the city wanted to publicly recognize their work and donation to the city in moving forward on its Ireland Creek project.

MINUTES/Page X

Next, a motion was made by Council Member Lucas, seconded by Council Member, to accept with regret the resignation of Mr. Shea Robbins from the Municipal Planning Commission. The motion passed unanimously.

Next, Council Member Cannady moved to appoint Mr. Badge Humphries (new director of Ducks Unlimited) to replace Mr. Edwin Cooper (its former director) on the Great Swamp Sanctuary Commission. Council Member Lucas seconded the motion that passed unanimously.

Next, Council reviewed a state of bids received for a study of the City's Water Mapping System. City Manager McConnell briefed Council that it had expressed concern that our water system maps are upgraded in order to take a look at our system to find weak points which may need shoring up. Six bids were received, with B.P. Barber being the low bidder at \$17,500. Mr. McConnell then recommended approval of B. P. Barber as the low bidder. A motion to approve B. P. Barber as the low bidder was made by Council Member Cannady, seconded by Council Member Lucas with all members voting in favor.

A request by the Police Department for authorization to purchase a police vehicle from the low bidder, Walterboro Motor Sales, at the purchase price of \$67,209.35, was approved on a motion by Council Member Young, seconded by Council Member Cannady and passed unanimously.

Next, Council accepted the 2005-2006 Budget Calendar as submitted on a motion by Council Member Cannady, seconded by Council Member Young and passed unanimously.

A motion was made by Council Member Cannady to ratify the Engineering Contract with HSMM Architects Engineers Planners to upgrade the Exxon and Safari Pumping Stations in the amount of \$76,310. Council Member Parker seconded the motion that passed with all members voting in favor.

Next, Ordinance # 2006-08 was given First Reading Approval on the motion of Young, seconded by Council Member Thompson and passed unanimously; being: AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTABLISHING A LOCAL HOSPITALITY TAX TO APPLY TO ALL ESTABLISHMENTS WHICH SELL PREPARED MEALS AND BEVERAGES LOCATED IN WALTERBORO, SOUTH CAROLINA AND PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Last under New Business, Ordinance # 2006-09 was given First Reading Approval on the motion of Council Member Thompson, seconded by Council Member Young and passed unanimously; being: AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE ENTRY BY THE CITY OF WALTERBORO INTO A LEASE PURCHASE FINANCING WITH RESPECT TO THE GREAT SWAMP SANCTUARY, TO PROVIDE FUNDS TO PAY A GRANT TO COLLETON COUNTY TO REPAY A PORTION OF THE COUNTY'S DEBT INCURRED TO PAY A PORTION OF THE COST OF A VETERANS NURSING HOME IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF NOT EXCEEDING \$1,600,000; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF A BASE LEASE, PROJECT LEASE, AND ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, AND OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO.

Walterboro City Council
Public Hearing and Regular Meeting
Tuesday, March 28, 2006

MINUTES/Page XI

There were no Committee Reports given. Council Member Cannady announced that the annual Fun-in-Sun event will be held next week, April 4-7, 2006 at the airport. It is expected to have 800 six graders attending this event.

On the recommendation of the City Manager, a motion was made by Council Member Lucas, seconded by Council Member Parker and passed unanimously to hold a Special Called City Council Meeting on Tuesday, April 4, 2006 for the purpose of considering the hospitality tax ordinance and other matters.

A motion to enter an executive session to discuss contractual matters on the purchase and sale of land and hear an update on the Intergovernmental Agreement was made by Council Member Cannady, seconded by Council Member Parker and passed unanimously.

The meeting returned to Open Session with no action being taken in executive session. There being no further business to consider, a motion to adjourn the meeting was made by Council Member Cannady, seconded by Council Member Lucas and passed unanimously. The Mayor adjourned the meeting at 8:15 P.M. Notice of this meeting was distributed to all local media and posted on the City Hall bulletin board at least twenty-four hours prior to meeting time.

Respectfully,

Betty J. Hudson
City Clerk

APPROVED April 11, 2006