

MINUTES

A Regular Meeting of Walterboro City Council was held at City Hall on Tuesday, March 6, 2018 at 6:15 P.M., with Mayor Bill Young presiding.

PRESENT WERE: Mayor Bill Young, Council Members: Carl Brown, Judy Bridge, Paul Siegel, Bobby Bonds, and Greg Pryor. City Manager Jeff Molinari, City Clerk Betty Hudson, and City Attorney George Cone were also present. There were approximately 10 persons present in the audience. Council Member James Broderick was absent.

There being a quorum present, Mayor Young called the meeting to order and invited everyone to join in the invocation and the pledge of allegiance. Council Member Siegel gave the invocation and Council Member Bonds led the pledge of allegiance to our flag.

PUBLIC INPUT ON AGENDA ITEMS:

No public comments on agenda items were given.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

The Mayor then opened a public hearing duly advertised to receive public comments on the following two items:

1. Ordinance # 2017-14, An Ordinance to Amend the Unified Development Ordinance of the City of Walterboro, South Carolina, to Provide for Changes to Chapter 4, Section 4.7.3.1 General Provisions Item D.2, and Section 4.7.4 New Structures Items G.4, and I.3 of the Unified Development Ordinance of the City of Walterboro.

Assistant City Manager Hank Amundson briefed Council on the proposed changes to this ordinance.

Mr. Amundson stated, as we discussed during First Reading of this ordinance, this is an effort to clarify and unify the language in the different pages of our United Development ordinance to include "clear storefront windows" in multiple sections, and that is what is being repeated throughout this section. We have also asked window commercial contractors about their ability during renovations to meet energy codes with clear glass, and we were assured that is a possibility, and that is why we left it as "clear storefront windows".

There were no public comments or questions.

2. CDBG Needs Assessment Hearing - Michelle Knight, Lowcountry Council of Governments (LCOG)

Ms. Knight stated that this public hearing is our annual needs assessment hearing that we do every year to get the City in a position to be able to apply for Community Development Block Grant funds.

She further stated that this public hearing was advertised in accordance with the City's Citizen Participation Plan which allows for public input at three points. The three points are: once a year for this Needs Assessment, right before we put in an application and at the end of a project that's funded, we report back on what we did.

Using a power point presentation, Mrs. Knights gave the following highlights of the CDBG program for 2018.

MINUTES/Page II

1. As part of the requirements of the program, the locality is expected to undertake activities that promote Fair Housing. Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 stipulates that we all have the right to be treated fairly regardless of our race, color, religion, sex, familial status, or national origin.

Ms. Knight stated that part of what we do to participate in the program is to have activities to further Fair Housing. The City does activities such as notifying the public that April is Fair Housing Month. Another thing that we do is this announcement during our public hearings to state for the record that the City does not discriminate against the protected classes and Fair Housing is used in the sale or rental of housing in residential real estate transactions or in the provision of brokerage services. Ms. Knight pointed out that if someone should contact the City, saying they believe they have been discriminated against, then the City can have the person contact the Lowcountry Council of Governments at 843-473-3990.

2. The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program is designed to provide assistance to units of local government to address items that improve economic opportunities and meet community revitalization needs, particularly for people who are low-to-moderate income (LMI).

In Colleton County, the low to moderate income for a family of four means that the annual income is less than \$36,700 a year. That being said, the City itself is not a majority low-to-moderate income, but most of what we do, we have to go out and do door-to-door surveys to make sure we are benefitting the low-to-moderate income area.

3. The CDBG Program has been in existence since 1982. It's funded by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development under Title I of the Housing Community Development Act 1974 as amended (Title I).
4. For this year, South Carolina has been allocated approximately \$18.5 million for projects.
5. The CDBG Program has three (3) major grant program categories as follows:
 - A. **Community Development**
 - B. **Business Development**
 - C. **Regional Planning**

Ms. Knight then gave a description of each funding program category as follows:

- A. **Community Development Program (1st Major Program)**

Under the Community Development, the money which is set-aside for this program has the following categories with set-asides as follows:

1. **Community Infrastructure \$10,534.86 set-aside**

This is what we call our spring water/sewer and drainage round. The maximum that can be applied for in this category is \$750,000, with a minimum of \$50,000, and there is a 10% match requirement for any project. The priority is on upgrades to existing services that address a health issue or a DHEC health threat, then general upgrades, and then expansion of services in areas that are densely populated. That would be, for example, expanding water/sewer service outside of the City limits where there is a demand for it.

MINUTES/Page III

2. **Community Enrichment** ***\$3,000,000 set-aside***

This is a fall round category. There is an August request deadline, with a September application deadline. Under this program, basically, we call this our in-house or our facilities type program. You are looking at meeting one of three State objectives:

- a. Increasing economic competitiveness.
- b. Addressing a work force or technology issue.
- c. Addressing a health or safety issue.

The type of projects under this type set-aside includes:

- a. Brownsfield Projects. This is a project where you are demolishing publicly owned, or what I call "white elephant" buildings,
- b. Downtown streetscape improvements.
- c. We can do planning for regional infrastructure, so if there was a need for a master drainage plan for a large area, we could do something like that.
- d. Public Facilities Modifications. These are facilities modifications to buildings that basically serve a low-to-moderate income clientele, so we are not talking about doing things, for example like energy efficiencies to a City Hall or something like that.
- e. Libraries or Technology Centers. This could be with libraries - where we are talking about computer equipment, or we could be talking about things like book mobiles for a library.
- f. Transportation-Oriented Public Facilities.
- g. Public Safety Facilities or Services. This could be like EMS or fire substations or fire trucks where we can prove that the service area is low-to-moderate income.
- h. Health Clinic Facilities. These are basically facility modifications to buildings that serve a low-to-moderate income clientele.

The maximum amount funding amount the City can apply for under this category is \$500,000. The minimum amount is \$50,000, with a match requirement of 10%.

3. **Special Projects** ***\$300,000 set-aside***

Again, this is a fall round project with a request deadline in August and a deadline in September for the application. This is an opportunity to be able to do small pilot type projects that don't really fit other categories. It could be things that address historic preservation, such as stabilization of a historic building. There could be parks, trails or green way projects, and projects that address economic competitiveness issues that could be considered innovative. The maximum that you can apply for here is \$150,000, with a minimum application requirement of \$50,000. For our region, the only thing that we have done under this category so far has been two historic preservation projects.

4. **Neighborhood Revitalization** ***\$914,708 set-aside (must have a plan)***

This is like your Lemacks Street Project that you have right now. There is a fall deadline on it, with a maximum of \$500,000 you can apply for, with a minimum of \$50,000. This is where you target the low-to-moderate income neighborhoods. You must have a developed neighborhood plan. You go through that plan and you work on the

MINUTES/Page IV

recommendations for it. You can have projects like water or sewer drainage, lighting, trails, demolition of attractive nuisance residential properties, and exterior rehab to houses. This is basically for anything that you need to do to address that neighborhood in a comprehensive way to make it sustainable and viable.

5. **Ready to Go Projects** **\$600,000 set-aside**

This is a non-competitive set-aside, and is designed to address activities under community infrastructure or community enrichment that cannot wait until the competitive round. So, let's say for instance, in the middle of summer, Walterboro was slapped with a DHEC consent order with their wastewater system, and you could not wait to apply for funding in the spring to address that issue. Potentially, if it's a project that qualifies, we could apply under this. You would not have to compete under this program, you would just have to meet the minimum requirements of eligibility to do that.

Ms. Knight then explained that all projects must meet a national objective, meaning:

1. Benefit low-to-moderate income (LMI) persons.
2. Aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight.
3. Meet other urgent community needs posing a serious threat to the health or welfare of the community.

She further stated that all the match requirements for these programs are 10% as a minimum.

B. Business Development (2nd Major Program) **\$2 million set-aside**

This is our old Economic Development Program. There is not a deadline, but this will be where you would put in a request where there is a need. What you do here is address public infrastructure issues for companies that are locating or expanding in your area to do things like run water or sewer or put a road in. There has to be a significant company investment for you to be able to tap into that money, with private investment by the company, and there has to be a job creation commitment. Typically, under this program, the maximum amount given is \$500,000 and the maximum that you can get per job created is roughly \$10,000 per job. The jobs that are created must be jobs that are determined to be CDBG qualifying, which basically means that most of those jobs that the companies create could be done with a high school diploma or less than a year's experience of on-the-job training. So, we are not necessarily looking at high tech jobs or degree jobs or high skilled jobs for those job creations. There is a performance agreement that is required of companies when they pursue this funding, and there is a provision that if those companies do not meet those job creation commitments within a certain period of time, that the State can ask for that money to be returned. This doesn't happen often, but it can happen under certain circumstances.

C. Regional Planning (3rd Major Program) **\$500,000 set-aside**

This is basically the planning grant monies which is divided among the 10 COGS, of which Lowcountry Council of Governments is one, to be able to do public hearings like this, be able to sit down with your staff and talk about potential projects and

MINUTES/Page V

develop those projects. Those other funds (\$185,046) are used for State Technical Assistance to do the same sort of things and State Administration funds are \$470,093.

6. Performance Thresholds

Ms. Knight explained that in order for a community to be eligible for funding, you can have no more than two grant projects open at a time, which are less than 30 months old. Right now, Walterboro has a threshold issue this year in that the Lemacks Street Project that we are trying to finish up right now is over 30 months old. So, once we close it, the City can pursue another project. You can have only one neighborhood project at a time, which means using the City as an example, you cannot apply for another neighborhood project, while the Lemacks Street project is still open in a different neighborhood. You can have only one Ready-to-Go Project at a time, and the last thing is that you can have no more than one project for the same general target area open at the same time, unless the current project is under construction. For example, if you had a water project in the Lemacks Street area that you were working on right now, if it were under construction and the project was less than 30 months old, then you could apply for another project in the same area and you would say work on another phase of the project.

HOME CONSORTIUM

Concluding her remarks on the CDBG Program, Ms. Knight stated that she would like to talk about the HOME Consortium Program. She said, this is a little bit different, because at the end of this, we are going to ask the City to not only rank its community needs like you usually do, but we are also asking you to give us some feedback at your leisure, hopefully before April 30, about the specifics of the priority housing needs for your area.

Ms. Knight explained that the Lowcountry Regional Housing Consortium is comprised of all of our counties and all of our municipalities, except for one; going from memory, its either Williams or Lodge. Anyway, it is comprised of the vast majority of our whole region. It includes the following counties: Beaufort, Colleton, Hampton and Jasper and all 21 municipalities in the region. There are three main objectives for the Housing Consortium:

1. Rehabilitate substandard housing.
2. Increase accessibility to adequate and affordable housing.
3. Support the development and availability of safe, decent and affordable housing.

Ms. Knight stated that every year we are required to prepare an action plan for the Housing Consortium funds we receive. Our region controls that money that we receive. What we would like is to get some feedback from the municipalities and the counties in our region about what you feel your housing needs are in your area. Typically, we hold public hearings for this action plan, but we only hold one or two. We typically hold one in the northern end of the region, one in the southern end of the region and usually one at our board meeting. We thought it would be a good idea, in an effort to outreach and get more public input, to be able to ask people during this Needs Assessment Public Hearing that we do every year for CDBG, also specifically about housing needs in relation to the Home Consortium funds. This is a completely different issue from your CDBG priority needs and we would just need this prior to our April 30th deadline.

MINUTES/Page VI

Concluding, Ms. Knight pointed out that the City ranked its community priority needs last year as follows:

1. Public Infrastructure.
2. Community Enrichment.
3. Multiple Activity Neighborhood Projects.
4. Special Projects as identified.
5. Economic Development projects as identified.

Ms. Knight then requested that the City identify and rank its new priority needs for 2018 at this meeting or at the next City Council Meeting.

The Mayor then opened the floor to receive comments or questions.

Council Member Bridge then asked, what is the funding basis for the HOME Consortium?

Ms. Knight answered that it is based on a formula, as well, but that money is through a different Act. It is through the HOME Act, which is Housing Opportunities Made Equal. So, it's a different formula for funding than CDBG, but it works in a similar way, except that it is devoted solely to housing activities. If you will notice, most of the CDBG projects that we have talked about, other than needing some exterior rehab as part of the neighborhood project, we cannot do housing with those CDBG funds any more. But under the HOME Consortium, that is all we do there.

The Mayor then asked if the City's partnership with Mission Serve was covered by some of the HOME Consortium funds? Ms. Knight responded, actually your partnership with Mission Serve was done through CDBG. That was the exterior housing rehab work. The extent how CDBG was involved - was that we provided the materials and Mission Serve provided the labor. That actually was a big success as far as Lemacks Street was concerned, because we did more than we intended with that. But with this program, what you are doing is a homeowner will come to us and if we agree to work on their house, and they are income qualifying, because they have to meet certain requirements, then we will address everything, first addressing the health or safety issues. So, it could be that we are spending \$20,000 or \$30,000 on a house. Whereas, for example with CDBG, I think our average cost per house with Mission Serve on Lemacks Street was less than \$4,000 per house. The low end house, we spent \$400 on materials and on the high end house, we spent maybe \$4,500. I mean it was a small amount of money, but we did 14 houses, which was a big impact.

Mayor Young then asked, if the City does something, for example, in infrastructure or even in Community Enrichment that benefits the whole county, is the county LMI as a whole? Ms. Knight responded that the City, nor the County according to census data, is a majority of low-to-moderate income. So, you have to find an area that you can target. As a general rule, if the county has a project that has a county-wide benefit, but it's located in the City, like doing something with your library, they (the County) can make that application. The City does not have to make that application. If the City has a project, for instance, running water lines outside of the City limits, then we have to be able to justify why we are doing that and not working on something inside the City limits. I mean, we can do that, and we have done it before, but you can't automatically use a City slot or City application to do "a project" that's in the County.

MINUTES/Page VII

Mayor Young then said, so like our wastewater treatment plant, if we expand the capacity of that to benefit the whole county, then would we have a problem with that or if we build an amphitheater that's used by everyone in the County. Ms. Knight responded, I don't know if the amphitheater example would be something that would be a practical thing to do under this program. Mayor Young further pointed out that this type project could come under Community Enrichment, which has things like that listed. Ms. Knight then said, but with the amphitheater you would have to say that the majority of the people who are going to use it are low-to moderate income, and let's face it, anybody could use it, and they might not just be county or City residents. So, I don't know if you could use it for the amphitheater. She then discussed the wastewater treatment example. She said, probably under that circumstance, you would have to do a joint application for the City and the County, and they have worked together before to do things, and they could work together on that.

The Mayor then said, I know we asked the question one time before if we could get an EDA grant for the Wastewater Treatment Plant and there was some talk about it, and we did make that work.

Ms. Knight then stated that the City is on schedule with the current project. We were told by EDA that if the City is ready and wanted to do another phase through EDA, EDA is open to that. Naturally, you have to compete and the key there is that we have to have other job creations commitments. We can't use the same commitments that we originally had for the first phase. It has to be additional investments and additional jobs, and we have been talking about that.

Mayor Young then announced that Ms. Michelle Knight has been a great resource for the City of Walterboro over the years and because of her efforts, we have been able to do a lot of things here that we would not have been able to do. So, Michelle thank you for all of your help.

No further comments were made and the public hearing was closed.

PRESENTATIONS:

2. Virtual Tour of the Walterboro Wildlife Sanctuary by Adam Davis, Parks Director

The Mayor pointed out that over the last two or three years, the Friends of the Sanctuary have partnered with several eagle scout projects. Lance Calcutt built a bicycle rack that looks like an alligator, and then Claude Gibson built bird houses that were placed in the Sanctuary, and most recently an eagle scout project was done that has to do QR codes to be able to learn about the sanctuary right on your phone as you walk through the sanctuary. I think the QR codes presentations are really exceptional.

Using an overhead power point presentation, Mr. Davis gave a brief tour of the Walterboro Wildlife Sanctuary and gave the following remarks:

1. Over the past couple of years, different scouts have come to the City through the FROGS Committee with their ideas for eagle scout projects.
2. In 2015, envisioning his project, Jacob Huggins presented his idea of a Virtual Tour as one would walk through the sanctuary. This will be possible by scanning a QR Code, which accesses information about the sanctuary, which shows plants and animals in their natural habitats that are seen throughout the sanctuary. Jacob also consulted with Dr. Erin Kilpatrick about the flora and fauna throughout the sanctuary, and Dr. Kilpatrick actually narrated all the videos that you scan with your QR Codes.

MINUTES/Page VIII

Mr. Davis then gave a quick glimpse of Jacob's project. He stated that portions of Jacob's project will be displayed at the two entrances to the sanctuary on Detreville Street and on East Washington Street. As soon as you walk up, you will see the project with Jacob's name and the QR Codes.

Mr. Davis then showed a short video hosted by Erin Kilpatrick which showed all the highlights, including the beaver pond, wetlands, vegetation, animals, plants, flora and fauna shown in the Wildlife Sanctuary.

Concluding, Mr. Adam Davis stated that Jacob was not able to attend the meeting tonight, but he was recognized last week, when the City did the BIG RED ROCKER CHAIR dedication event. Mr. Davis said, I would like to thank Jacob for his project, which has created a more interactive experience as people tour the Wildlife Sanctuary.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES:

The Minutes of the February 13, 2018 Regular Meeting were approved as submitted on the motion of Council Member Bridge, seconded by Council Member Brown with all members voting in favor, except Council Member Broderick was absent and did not vote.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. **Ordinance # 2017-14**, An Ordinance to Amend the Unified Development Ordinance of the City of Walterboro, South Carolina, to Provide for Changes to Chapter 4, Section 4.7.3.1 General Provisions Item D.2, and Section 4.7.4 New Structures Items G.4, and I.3 of the Unified Development Ordinance of the City of Walterboro, **Second Reading and Adoption.**

A motion was made by Council Member Pryor giving Second Reading and Adoption to Ordinance # 2017-14 as submitted. Council Member Bridge seconded the motion.

In discussing the motion, Council Member Bonds asked the following questions.

1. Regarding buildings along main street that already have tinted windows: If a hurricane comes through and blows those windows out, will they be able to put back tinted windows or will they have to put in clear windows?

Assistant City Manager Hank Amundson responded: This becomes a level of damage, because of prior non-conforming. It's a level of damage question.

Mr. Bonds responded, I can think of a business right now that has tinted windows. So, my concern is that if a storm comes or if someone gets a BB gun one night and shoots out those windows, will this business be forced to go back and put in clear windows? I have a concern about that.

2. On the next question, Mr. Bonds said, I can think of another store downtown. It has clear glass, but they basically have this stuff like you see on buses in Charleston, where you can see something and this one is clear - right next to main street. There is clear glass and they have this white stuff all over the window. So, what's that?

Assistant City Manager Amundson responded, that is not an open business. That's done when there is a closed business.

MINUTES/Page IX

Council Member Bonds then said: So, my question is, does clear glass mean how far you can see into the window?. I mean, if that was an open business, would that be permitted or not permitted? Mr. Amundson responded that would not be permitted.

3. Council Member Bonds then said: Let's say for Mr. Derrick Rush's building that he loses his store front windows. Can he go and make those storefront windows smaller or does he have to maintain the same size storefront windows?

Mr. Amundson responded, under the current ordinance, he would need to maintain the historic nature of his storefront, which is the size of those windows.

Mr. Bonds then asked: if he wanted to appeal, then he would go to the Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA), and if they didn't like it or it was ruled against him, he would have to go through the Circuit Court to appeal. Mr. Amundson responded, yes.

Council Member Brown, agreeing with Council Member Bonds, stated that he also has a problem with the definition of "clear". He stated, as you know, there are different types of windows with some being Low-E, which is a small tint, but it is made into the glass, and there are different variations of Low-E. It's not clear glass. There is a tint to it. So, the definition of clear to me means clear, like your eye glasses. So, are you going to allow Low-E where it has a tint to it?

Mr. Amundson responded: That's why I asked Wildwood Contractors specifically about work they have done in Charleston. Can they achieve the energy code, because Low-E is in place in order to achieve the energy code. They said that they could.

Council Member Brown then asked: So, are you going to allow Low-E glass? It has a tint to it, it's not clear. A company has to come out and stretch out the vinyl on it to give it a tint, but the glass is actually made with a sun-dimming tint in it. It's not clear.

Mr. Amundson responded, under this new ordinance, that would not be allowed. If sun dimming is the goal, and we did discuss this in a Planning Commission meeting, that if the sun hits a window directly, then that is why there are awnings available downtown.

Mr. Brown then said, in that case, I will have to vote against this ordinance, because in 10 or 15 years, we have not put in a single sheet of clear glass. It's all Low-E.

Mayor Young then said, this comes to us as a recommendation from the Planning Commission. He then asked if there were any further questions.

The Mayor then said, if Council would like to have the language cleared up a bit more, the Chair would entertain a motion to "Table the Ordinance" in order to get the language cleared up a little more to suit Council. Mr. Pryor said, he agreed in view of the good questions asked.

A motion was then made by Council Member Brown to Table Second Reading and Adoption of Ordinance # 2017-14 to a later date in order to clear up the language in the ordinance. Council Member Bonds seconded the motion that passed with all members voting in favor, except Council Member Broderick was absent and did not vote.

MINUTES/Page X

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Resolution # 2018-R-02, Designating April 2018 as Fair Housing Month and Approval of the Annual Nondiscrimination Policy

City Manager Molinari stated that this resolution is a requirement for participation in the CDBG program, and this is something that we adopt on an annual basis.

A motion to adopt Resolution # 2018-R-02, designating April 2018 as Fair Housing Month and approval of the annual nondiscrimination policy, was made by Council Member Siegel and seconded by Council Member Pryor. All in favor. None opposed. Motion carried. Council Member Broderick was absent and did not vote. A copy of said resolution is attached as part of these minutes.

2. Consideration of Funding Recommendations from the Accommodations Tax Advisory Committee

City Manager Molinari announced that the A-Tax Committee met on February 15, 2018 and considered two applications that came before the committee. The Committee approved and is recommending Council's approval of the following requests for A-tax funding:

<u>Requests for 65% Tourism Fund</u>	<u>Requested</u>	<u>Approved</u>
Walterboro-Colleton Chamber of Turkey Trot	\$ 3,000	\$ 1,500
Walterboro-Colleton Chamber Fall Festival	<u>\$10,000</u>	<u>\$ 6,000</u>
Totals	\$13,000	\$ 7,500

Concluding, City Manager Molinari stated that if these requests are approved as submitted, there will be approximately \$33,699 left over, and the remaining funds will be for consideration at the next scheduled A-Tax meeting. The Accommodations Tax Committee respectfully requests City Council's approval of these recommendations.

A motion was made by Council Member Brown to approve the A-Tax Committee's funding recommendations as presented. Council Member Bonds seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. Council Member Broderick was absent and did not vote.

3. A request to close the streets on April 14, 2018 for the March of Dimes "March for Babies" Walk by Holly Mardell, Chairman, was approved on the motion of Council Member Pryor, seconded by Council Member Bridge, with all members voting in favor, except Council Member Broderick was absent and did not vote.

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

There were no Committee Reports given.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT:

1. Recognition of Michelle Strickland Tourism Director

City Manager Molinari stated that he would like to take the opportunity to recognize the City's Tourism Director, Michelle Strickland. As you are all aware, she is going to take a position with Palmetto Telephone, and I just wanted to recognize her for her achievements over the past three years. She has done an outstanding job. Some of the things that she accomplished were:

MINUTES/Page XI

1. The Brag a Little About Walterboro Campaign, which was very successful.
2. She was able to bring two cycling events to Walterboro - the Festivelo and the Carolina Cycling Association's Regional Criterium this past June.
3. She secured \$250,000 in grants for the Discovery Center, and \$129,000 in TAG grants from the State.
4. She also increased the City's Social Media presence and led the redesign of the City's website.

Mr. Molinari said, I just want to recognize Michelle for everything that she has done for the City and as much as I hate to lose her, it's a great opportunity and she will still be here in Colleton County contributing to the area.

Ms. Michelle Strickland then addressed Council. She said, I want to thank each one of you, the Mayor & City Council, City staff and especially our City Manager for the opportunity to work for this great organization. I admire and respect the City so much, and I feel so blessed to be a part of everything that has been going on, but I am also proud of the accomplishments that we have been able to make. Thank you again, for all the support you have given me.

Mayor Young then thanked Michelle for all the work she had done. He said, we wish you the best of luck in your new position. We are not letting her go right away, we've got a lot of loose ends that she has to tie up before she can leave us, but we appreciate your being willing to do that. It is especially good to have a native Walterborian promoting our City with marketing the City. So, that was great. Thank you.

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

The Mayor then entertained a motion to enter an Executive Session. Council Member Bridge so moved and Council Member Pryor seconded the motion that passed unanimously. Council Member Broderick was absent and did not vote. The Mayor announced that the meeting will convene into an Executive Session for:

1. Potential Purchase of Property.
2. Contractual Matters:
 - a. Property & Liability Insurance.
 - b. Dispatch Services.
3. Personnel Matters:
 - a. Reappointments to Boards and Commissions.
 - b. Appointment of Associate Municipal Judge.

The meeting then entered into an Executive Session.

A motion was made by Council Member Bridge to exit Executive Session and return to Open Session. Council Member Pryor seconded the motion. All in favor. None opposed. Motion carried. Council Member Broderick was absent and did not vote.

The meeting returned to Open Session and the following motions were made:

A motion was made by Council Member Bridge to reappoint Boards and Commissions Members as recommended by the City Manager. Council Member Bonds seconded the motion. All in favor. None opposed. Motion carried. Council Member Broderick was absent and did not vote.

The recommended reappointments by the City Manager were as follows:

Walterboro City Council
Regular Meeting
March 6, 2018

MINUTES/Page XII

Accommodations Tax Committee

Amar Patel (3-year term expiring January 1, 2021)

Viral Patel (3-year term expiring January 1, 2021)

Board of Zoning Appeals

Travis Godley (3-year term expiring January 1, 2021)

Dandi Daniels (3-year term expiring January 1, 2021)

Election Commission

T. Payton Crosby (6-year term expiring January 1, 2024)

Historic Preservation Commission

Sherry Cawley (2-year term expiring January 1, 2020)

Lucille Powers (2-year term expiring January 1, 2020)

Municipal Planning Commission

Glenn Nixon (4-year term expiring January 1, 2022)

Chris Bickley (4-year term expiring January 1, 2022)

Tree Protection Committee

Calvin Bailey (2-year term expiring January 1, 2020)

Robert Wilkinson, III (2-year term expiring January 1, 2020)

Mitzi Carter (2-year term expiring January 1, 2020)

Sue Tilden (2-year term expiring January 1, 2020)

A second motion was made by Council Member Brown, seconded by Council Member Pryor, to appoint Mary Ann Blake as Associate Judge. All in favor. None opposed. Motion carried. Council Member Broderick was absent and did not vote.

Mayor Young then appointed Ms. Sabrina Johnson to the Airport Commission for a term which expires 1/2020.

There being no further business to consider, a motion to adjourn was made by Council Member Brown, seconded by Council Member Bridge and passed unanimously. The Mayor adjourned the meeting at 8:05 P.M. Notice of this meeting was distributed to all local media, posted on the City's website and the City Hall bulletin board at least twenty-four hours prior to meeting time.

Respectfully,

Betty J. Hudson
City Clerk

Approved: April 3, 2018